	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT	COURT IN OBEN COURT
		for the	DATE: 12-11-2012
	We	estern District of Virginia	mes
			SPUTA CLERK
	United States of America)	
	V.) Case No.	6:12-cr-00015-01
	James Bowers Johnson)	0.12 61 00013 01
	Defendant)	
	DETENTI	ON ORDER PENDING T	RIAL
require	After conducting a detention hearing und e that the defendant be detained pending tri		U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
□ (1)		art I—Findings of Fact	42(f)(1) and has proviously been convicted
⊔ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or	v	ye been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	local offense that would have	to been a rederar offense if rederar
	•	8 U.S.C. 8 3156(a)(4)or an	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	for which the prison term is 10 year		onense nated in 10 0.5.c. § 25520(g)(5)
	☐ an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is death or life in	nprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in		
			.*
	□ a felony committed after the defendescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)		•
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of v	iolence but involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a fire	arm or destructive device or	any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U	J.S.C. § 2250	
□ (2)	•		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has ela	psed since the	conviction ☐ the defendant's release
` '	from prison for the offense described i	n finding (1).	
□ (4)			that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	A	lternative Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe tha	t the defendant has committ	ed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is pres	cribed in .

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
□ (2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure fety of the community.	
		Alternative Findings (B)	
□ (1)			
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the detend	lant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
		tement of the Reasons for Detention	
	•	submitted at the detention hearing establishes by	
Convine	effortes Herre	is likely to violate Candidians wins peliaseli une cheerly setablishes to file papers with lauch a eer in viol. or panditions of Relians	
	Part III—	-Directions Regarding Detention	
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent programmer. The defendant must be afforded	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement racticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody d a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On trorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility marshal for a court appearance.	
Date:	12/11/2012	flylloft &	
		Judge's Signature	
		Hon. B. Waugh Crigler, U. S. Magistrate Judge	
		Name and Title	